

The Sun
AND NEW YORK PRESS
FRIDAY, APRIL 18, 1919.
MEMBER OF THE ASSOCIATED PRESS.
The Associated Press is exclusively entitled to the use for publication of all news dispatches credited to it or not otherwise credited, and all rights of special dispatches herein are also reserved.

Entered at the Post Office at New York as Second Class Mail Matter.
Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid.
One Year, \$10.00
Six Months, \$5.00
Three Months, \$2.50
Daily only, \$1.00
Sunday only, \$0.50
Daily and Sunday, \$1.50
Foreign Rates.
Daily and Sunday, \$2.50
Daily only, \$1.50
Sunday only, \$0.75

THE EVENING SUN, For Month, \$0.25
THE EVENING SUN, For Year, \$2.50
THE EVENING SUN (Foreign), per Mo. 1.00
All checks, money orders, etc., to be made payable to The Sun.
Published daily, including Sunday, by The Sun Publishing Association, 150 Nassau St., New York, N. Y.
President, Frank A. Munsey, 150 Nassau St., New York, N. Y.
Vice President, George F. Baker, 150 Nassau St., New York, N. Y.
Secretary, R. H. Tabor, 150 Nassau St., New York, N. Y.
Treasurer, Wm. W. Davis, 150 Nassau St., New York, N. Y.
All correspondence, notices, etc., to be sent to the Editor, The Sun, 150 Nassau St., New York, N. Y.
Telephone, BEEKMAN 3200.

Can These Things Be and Overcome Us Like a Summer Cloud?

What is this persistent rumor from Paris about a "secret pact," or unpublished defensive alliance among the Powers associated in the defeat of Germany, promising military aid to France in the future if France is again attacked from across the river Rhine?

Many of the reports of details that now come hither from Paris are confusing, contradictory and as different from one day to another as black is from white, or BELIAL from the Angel GABRIEL. This is natural to the situation. It is the inevitable, perhaps the not undesirable consequence of the utter oblivion which has swallowed that fine phrase of Point or Principle One about "open covenants of peace, openly arrived at." The honest correspondents who are attempting to keep the American public informed of the conference's progress toward an open arrival at open covenants of peace are doing all that human intelligence at its perigee and intelligent industry without stint can do in that way; but the difficulties of their job are all beyond the powers of omniscience itself. The door is locked as hard and fast as any door was ever locked in all the history of diplomacy; and they are on the wrong side of the door.

So on Monday the sky of peace is beautifully azure and the atmosphere within the room where sits the Council of Four reflects the general optimism. On Tuesday President Wilson is threatening to come home on the George Washington, leaving Europe to stew in its own juice. On Wednesday OLANO is going to quit, carrying Italy with him. On Thursday LLOYD GEORGE and CLEMENCEAU are combining to thwart the American President and make garbage of his Fourteen. On Friday the British Prime Minister is cooperating in hearty accord with Mr. Wilson to restrain France in her excessive requirements. And on Saturday the week ends with President Wilson in full and cordial understanding with Mr. CLEMENCEAU, having promised him the military support of the United States in the event of a future war.

Do not blame the correspondents for the kaleidoscopic quality of their reports of the situation; they are doing, as we have said, the best that is possible to human intelligence under the circumstances.

But what of the still persistent report, coming hither from many sources through various channels, that the author of the First Point or Principle of the Fourteen—namely, that "there shall be no private understandings of any kind, but all diplomacy shall proceed in ways frankly and in the public view"—has privately agreed to a secret pact engaging his Government to go to war in a certain event? Is it credible?

Rhode Island Beer.

Rhode Island, whose Legislature has instructed the State law officers to bring an action to test the prohibition amendment to the Constitution of the United States, has passed in its Senate a bill providing that beverages containing 4 per cent. or less of alcohol shall be deemed non-intoxicating within its borders. This bill would authorize the manufacture and sale of beer 1.25 per cent. heavier in alcohol than that which the brewers have begun to make on the advice of counsel, and stronger than the beer made under war restrictions.

The percentage of alcohol by weight in certain popular brews is 8.25 for Burton ale, 6.29 for Guinness's stout, 4.41 for Scotch ale, 3.85 for New York lager, 3.74 for Munich beer and 3 for Schenckler. These percentages are for the pre-war output of the brewers. American brewers were restricted to the production of beer having an alcoholic content of 2.75 per cent. by weight, from January 21, 1918, in the President's proclamation of December 11, 1917, and on September 18, 1918, in another proclamation, the "use of sugar, glucose, corn, rice or any other foods, fruits, food materials and feeds in the production of malt liquors, including near beer for beverage purposes," after October 1, 1918, was prohibited.

Mr. CLARK's first assistant drew "just living wages." His second assistant received from the Government \$23.33 a month, while board in Wisconsin costs from \$8 a week up. Mr. CLARK paid her enough out of his own pocket to permit her to stay on, while—

his belief that if he had to write an advertisement for another woman clerk it would read like this:

"Girl wanted under the following requirements: Must be an expert auditor, also must be an expert on showboats and skills in order that the special delivery service may be handled promptly. Her muscles must be equal, if not excel, that of an Irish washwoman, as the limit of weight on parcel post is seventy pounds. One month in each quarter, when we are obliged to fill the credits of fifty-eight district offices, and hash out about \$12,000 in requisitions, she must do the work without errors and be perfectly willing to work Sundays and evenings without extra expense to the department. No kickers need apply."

Mr. CLARK wrote to Washington "enough letters to paper the White House," but without avail. "I have come to the conclusion," he says in his vaudeville, printed in the Lincoln News, "that the only relief is in death or resignation, and I prefer to resign, as my disposition at present is not good enough to take into the Great Beyond, as the continuous work and worry of the past year has warped it all out of shape." He hopes his successor may find justice. "I think the time is nearly ripe for the postal service to be built up again, as it is about as near a wreck at the present time as human ingenuity can make it."

We are not so sure about this last assumption. Who could have believed three years ago that Mr. BURLINGAME could have done to the Post Office Department what he has done to it? Who now can say what is the complete extent of his abilities for destruction? What he did to Postmaster CLARK isn't a marker to what he has done to the public.

A Document to Be Remembered.

The Republican Publicity Association has done something useful for collectors of Americans and citizens generally in lauding an illuminated copy of what it not inaptly calls the "Second Declaration of Independence." The faces and signatures of the thirty-nine Senators who on March 3 pledged themselves not to vote to ratify the Wilson covenant in the form then proposed ought to be familiar to every voter, indeed to every child studying history.

In the forty-five days since the so-called round robin was signed the Senators who pledged themselves to oppose anti-American policies in the relations of the United States with the rest of the world have been subjected to gross abuse, some from men who had never read the Wilson covenant, some from men who were content to let about it. Meanwhile victory has rested with the courageous thirty-nine. Every change affecting America that has been made in the constitution of the League of Nations since the President took his outlandish draft back to Paris has been the result of the distrust and disgust of the American people. This intense feeling was so strongly expressed in the pledge of the Senators that Mr. Wilson has realized the hopelessness of the delusion which possessed him on his last visit here—that he could deprive the Senate of its constitutional prerogatives.

The pledge stands as it was made. But the covenant—

The Last Difficult Problem for the Peace Conference to Solve.

The controversy over the east Adriatic coast and the town of Fiume, which from the earliest discussion of peace terms appeared perplexing and difficult of settlement, remains a serious obstacle to the immediate completion of the work of the Peace Conference. A proof of its importance is the fact that to it is to be given precedence over other matters in order that questions involved "can now be brought to a speedy agreement."

The firm stand taken by Premier ORLANDO and Foreign Minister SONNINO regarding Fiume, around which the whole Adriatic controversy revolves, is a position fully in accord with the wishes of the Italian people. The Italian Parliament and the different political parties of the kingdom are avowedly united in a demand for the annexation of this town to Italy. What has made the strongest appeal to the Italians is the fact that Fiume has already declared its choice. Before the war the Italians formed 65 per cent. of the population. After the defeat of the Austrian army and before the Italian troops had entered the town a vote was taken at the call of a council selected by the people, and this vote was overwhelmingly in favor of a union with Italy.

The diplomatic view of the question is based largely upon the construction that may be put upon the treaty of London entered into by Great Britain, Russia and Italy in April, 1915. The principal point in this treaty is that the boundary line determined upon was to represent the line of expansion of the Italian nationality. For this reason the Italians insist that the treaty cannot be discussed merely in its formal diplomatic aspect, but its virtue as a means of the completion of Italian unity must be considered.

The Italians claim that at every stage of their history some foreign state has been able to dominate the peninsula because it has had in its power roads for the invasion of Italy. Under such conditions Italy cannot be truly a free country. If she is to be free and to preserve her national character she must realize the right of the first of all be assured of conditions of safety. The Italians insist that Fiume is necessary to the control of the Adriatic, and that with-

out the control of this sea the position of Italy would never be secure. The Italian Adriatic coast is without natural harbors and exposed to storms, while the opposite coast abounds in harbors, roadsteads and places of refuge for warships. The result would be that Italy would be at the mercy of any Power that could hold the eastern Adriatic coast.

These points represent the features of the very active propaganda which the Italians have carried on at Paris. This has been directed largely against the Jugo-Slavs, who declare that the country back of Fiume is peopled almost entirely by Slavs and that Fiume is necessary as an outlet to the sea for the new Jugo-Slav state. The efforts at a compromise have led to the offer to Italy of points in Dalmatia in lieu of Fiume, and of various other concessions on the Adriatic coast. Another compromise has been to give Fiume to neither of the contestants, but to place it under international control. All of these plans so far have failed. There is not one of the Italian delegates who has shown a willingness to take the responsibility of accepting them and returning to Italy without the territorial prizes upon which the Italian people have set their hearts.

The matter has hung so long in the balance that it has created an unpleasant impression in Italy and has given rise to industrial unrest and discontent. These conditions render longer quibbling and delay dangerous and make an immediate settlement of the Adriatic question the imperative duty of the conference.

Poor Humanity!

"Thousands of New Jersey commuters," the Evening Post tells us, "found diversion from the rush hour when four passenger coaches in the Erie yard in Jersey City caught fire" on Saturday morning. The fire started at half-past 8 o'clock, when the passenger movement to New York was at its height, and "incoming trains delivered their passengers only to have them remain to see the fire. Soon the yard became congested and the tubes to New York practically empty because of the delay."

We know those commuters. If the train is half a minute late at Hoboken they fume and swear and squirm. They rise from their seats as the coaches they ride in emerge from Bergen Archways. They move swiftly toward the doors as it crosses the trestle half a mile or more from the station. They crowd the car steps, balanced perilously on the platform, as the engine approaches the shed. At great risk of limb and life they leap from the coaches and hurry to the tubes before the train stops. The uninformed observer would believe their presence in New York within the shortest conceivable period of time was essential to their happiness, peace to the welfare of the nation. Woe to the man who in that hurrying through hesitates for an instant, slows his step or deviates from the pace his neighbors set. Black looks, if not blackjacks, are showered on him, and roars of rage compass him about.

But let the railroad burn up a few cars and all this hurry disappears. The commuter who cannot sacrifice a few minutes to travel on the ferry finds ample time to watch the flames and advise the fire fighter. His imperative business becomes a matter of little concern. His engagements fall from him like a discarded garment. If not time to burn, he has time to watch the fire burn and be "diverted" by the spectacle.

Strange creatures, these commuters? Yes; as strange, indeed, as the sophisticated city dweller who to save a minute risks his life rushing across the street against the traffic, and then spends quarter of an hour watching a pedler sell self-threading needles to his fellow citizens of Gotham.

By the death of Miss Jane A. DEXTER, director of the Red Cross Department of Nursing, that great organization loses one of its most trusted and valuable workers, a woman whose high ideals, professional skill and executive talent enabled her to serve humanity in a score of emergencies with admirable efficiency. Miss Dexter was trained in the Bellevue Hospital school and when the war began her experience had fitted her peculiarly for the stupendous labor of expanding the Red Cross nursing force to meet the innumerable calls made upon it. How great was the loss to the organization is revealed by the number of nurses recruited under her direction, more than 30,000 in all. Wherever necessity required these capable women have done their work with credit to themselves and honor to the humane organization which they represent.

A Gob, meeting a Doughboy hereabout, may boast that while the men of Admiral Mayo's fleet did not have the privilege of marching five or ten miles carrying full equipments the sailors had just as good if not as spectacular a reception here as had the soldiers. The town of Yarmouth, clutches free to all of them; dinners, lunches, teas with hundreds of pretty women as hostesses, these are but a hint of the amazingly many, quickly arranged means New York has gladly provided to let the sailors know how popular they are with the folks at home.

It is now alleged that the Crown Prince did beat somebody after all. It was his wife.

A Doll Day in Arkansas.

Neo correspondence Benton Courier. James Hamilton of Rattlesnake Valley says everything is done slow. He said Friday everything was so slow he went down to the river, and sitting himself on a hollow log, watched the snails for two hours as they whizzed by.

The Victory Note.
Stella—Can she sing?
Stella—She can take a V. H.

IS THERE NEEDED A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT?

Mr. A. R. Watson Says "No." Because Any Treaty May Constitutionally Be Broken in Spite of Itself.

To the Editor of THE SUN:—Sir: There is easy answer to the titular editorial query in your issue of this morning "Is a Constitutional Amendment Necessary Before We Enter the Proposed League?" The answer is "No."

In brief explanation let it be said: Under the Constitution of the United States (Article I, Section 8) Congress may declare war, raise and support armies, provide and maintain a navy, etc. By one of the same instrument (Article II, Section 2) the President, "by and with the advice and consent of the Senate," may make treaties. Further by the same instrument (Article VI), the Constitution, the laws of the United States made in pursuance thereof and all treaties are declared to be the supreme law of the land. But there is no provision of the Constitution that no action by Congress shall violate a treaty previously made, and it is certain that Congressional action has violated the express terms of the treaty of Commerce and Consular Rights. In your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council. There is no such restriction in the proposed amendment. It is your editorial article you speculate upon the effect of the textual restriction supposed to be contained in the league covenant against resort to war until three months after the award by arbitrators or a recommendation by the executive council